Case 3:09-cv-02903-WHA Document 65 Filed 06/24/10 Page 1 of 2

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Louis S. Franecke, Esq. (Cal. State Bar No. 523) FRANECKE LAW GROUP 1115 Irwin Street, Suite 100 San Rafael, CA 94102 Telephone: 415-457-7040 Facsimile: 415-457-7041 Randall H. Scarlett, Esq. (Cal. State Bar No. 135) SCARLETT LAW GROUP 536 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, CA 94133 Telephone: 415-352-6264 Facsimile: 415-352-6265 Attorneys for Plaintiff LAURIE SMITH		
	10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
	13			
	14	LAURIE SMITH,	CASE NO. C09-02903 WHA	
	15	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S	
	16	v.	MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF	
	17	AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. and DOES 1 to 50,	INTERROGATORIES, NOS. 1-9	
	18	Defendants.		
	19			
	20			
	21	Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to		
	22	Defendant American Airlines came on for hearing herein on Tuesday, June 22, 2010, at 11:00		
	23	a.m., before this Court; therein appearing Louis S. Franecke for the plaintiff and Kimberly		
	24	McIntyre and Kymberly Speer for the defendants.		
	25	Pursuant to the Court's Order, the parties had conferred at the courthouse prior to the		
Franecke Law Group	26	hearing on the issues of the Motion. The parties had resolved and agreed that defendant would		
	27	provide further answers to plaintiff's Interrogatories 1-9 for those individuals who are or were		
	28	American Airline employees, as the question may call for.		
		PROPOSED ORDER RE PLAINTIF	-1- F'S MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS OF INTERROGATORIES, NOS. 1-9	

1	However, the parties had been unable to resolve whether further answers, except as agreed		
2	upon, should be provided regarding non-defendant employees.		
3	The Court, having heard argument, reviewed the matter and for good cause orders as		
4	follows:		
5	1. Defendant American Airlines will provide further answers to Interrogatories 1-9 as		
6	the interrogatory may call for the identify of such witness who is or was an employee of American		
7	Airlines as the parties had agreed prior to the hearing.		
8	2. Defendant American Airlines does not have to further answer Interrogatories 1-9 as		
9	to other non-employee witnesses.		
10	3. Defendant American Airlines is to answer the following Interrogatory as composed		
11	by the Court:		
12	Please state the name, address and telephone number of each and every passenger and/or		
13	crew member who you contend saw the bottle in any context prior to it hitting plaintiff on the		
14	head, and who you contend saw plaintiff's husband open the subject bin.		
15	4. Said answers to interrogatories shall be served on or before June 30, 2010.		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 24, 2010.		
18	WILLAMARDEROVED		
19	UNITED APT IN		
20	APPROVED AS TO FORM: KENNEY & MARKOWITZ LLP Judge William Alsup		
21	KENNEY & MARKOWITZ LLP Judge William		
22	KENNEY & MARKOWITZ LLP By _/s/Kimberly I. McIntyre Kimberly I. McIntyre Attorneys for Defendant Amoricon Airlings Inc.		
23	Kimberly I. McIntyre Attorneys for Defendant		
24	American Airlines, Inc.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

Franecke Law Group